

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>TERRY WILLIAMS</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
Plaintiff, <i>pro se</i>		
	:	
	:	<b>NO. 18-5418</b>
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>MELISSA ARNETTE ELLIOTT</b>	:	
<b>a/k/a MISSY "MISDEMEANOR"</b>	:	
<b>ELLIOTT, et al.</b>	:	
Defendants		
	:	

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August 2024, upon consideration of Terry Williams (“Williams”) and Melissa Arnette Elliott’s (“Elliott”) *cross-motions for summary judgment*, (ECF 269 and 270),<sup>1</sup> the parties’ responses, (ECF 271 and 272), and replies, (ECF 275, ECF 281), and Williams’ sur-reply, (ECF 278), and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Elliott’s motion for summary judgment as to all of Williams’ claims premised on Aaliyah’s song *Heartbroken* is **GRANTED**;
2. Elliott’s motion for summary judgment as to all of Williams’ claims premised on the SISTA Songs is **DENIED**; and
3. Williams’ motion for summary judgment as to all his claims is **DENIED**.<sup>2</sup>

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Nitzia I. Quiñones Alejandro  
**NITZIA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO**  
*Judge, United States District Court*

---

<sup>1</sup> Williams’ motion for summary judgment was also filed in *Elliott v. Williams*, 21-cv-2290, at ECF 141.

<sup>2</sup> The parties’ motions for summary judgment also address the claims asserted by Elliott in the related case *Elliott v. Williams*, 21-cv-2290. This Order and accompanying memorandum opinion do not address the parties’ arguments as they relate to Elliott’s claims.